# YouthBuild Charleston Charter School

Grades 9-12 High School

**Enrollment** 47 Students

**Principal** 

**Superintendent** Dr. Maria L. Goodloe–Johnson 843–937–6319

**Board Chair** Ms. Nancy Cook 873–760–2635

# 2006 REPORT CARD

N/AV

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

1 0 3 0 12

IMPROVEMENT RATING

ABSOLUTE RATING

N/AV

# ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 2 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

## SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress						
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A						
2004	N/A	N/A	No						
2005	N/A	N/A	I/S						
2006	N/AV	N/AV	No						

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School		,	gh Schools w			
			_	Students Like Ours				
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58.0		
Passed 1 subtest	25.0	21.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.7		
Passed no subtests	75.0	78.6	100.0	N/A	N/A	30.6		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	50.0%	83.0%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	2.9
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	3.2
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	29.4

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	N/A	119
Number of Diplomas	N/A	75
Rate	N/A	66.0%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	N/A	68.1
English 1	N/A	50.4
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	N/A	36.5
Physical Science	N/A	18.9
All Subjects	N/A	43.0

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	2	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	
Gender								
Male	2	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
African American	2	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	2	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	2	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	2	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	2	50.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

					OUE	

	Enrollment 1st	æ/ _	% Below Basic	} /	/ *	: / 3	% Proficient and	Performance Objection	£ 3.\ &
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	/ A	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	i cjeji		Participation Objective Mos
		/ %	Belo	/ %	1 %	1 Ad	Pol Pol	erfo	
		/			/	1	1 % 5	/ ⁴ 8	/~ ð/
	glish/Lan						.3%		
All Students	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	No	No
Gender									
Male	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
African American	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		,							
Not Disabled	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
M	// Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ective = 50	0.0%			
All Students	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	Yes	No
Gender									
Mala	2	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	1/0	NI/A	NI/A

M	lathematic	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 50	0.0%			
All Students	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	Yes	No
Gender									
Male	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
African American	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

	Our School		ge from t Year	Hig Scho with St Like	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 47)				LIKE	Ours	
Retention rate	35.1%	Up from 3			10.2%	7.0%
Attendance rate	64.7%	Down fror			95.0%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%				3.9%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	0.0%	_ ~			14.8%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	91.5% N/R		8.2%		14.5% 0.6%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	N/R N/A	,			0.5% N/A	11.2% N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	N/A				3.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	50.0%	Up from 3	1.0%		2.8%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A			5.9%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	1	N/A			271	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A				24.6%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	N/A	N/A			75.5%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 4)	N/A ents.	N/A			97.8%	99.1%
Teachers with advanced degrees	25.0%	Up from 2	n n%		51.4%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	Op nom 2	.0.0 /0		N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	100.0%	N/A			19.7%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	No chang	е		17.4%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A			77.7%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate	100.0%	No chang			94.3%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	I/S	Down 100	0.0%		41,368	\$42,943
Prof. development days/teacher	N/K	N/R		11.	2 days	11.2 days
School						
Principal's years at school	N/R N/R	N/R N/R		24	2.8 2.1 to 1	3.0 25.7 to 1
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects Prime instructional time	N/R	N/R			87.4%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$9,824	,			\$8,734	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	35.7%	Up from 3	1.5%		53.7%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	42.1%				61.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	No chang	е		Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	N/R	N/R			79.3%	92.8%
SACS accreditation	No	No chang	е		Yes	Yes
Character development  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	N/R	N/R			Good	Good
Classes in law poverty caballa not tought by high	v qualified too-b	oro	_	District		State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high				0.7% 0.5%		6.2% 10.2%
Classes in riigh poverty schools not taught by high	ny quamieu teath		State Object		Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		0.0%			No
Student attendance in this school			04.00/.*			No

**Abbreviations for Missing Data** 

Student attendance in this school \*or greater than last year

94.0%\*

No

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	5	2	2
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	I/S	I/S
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	I/S	I/S
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	100.0%	I/S	I/S

<sup>\*</sup>Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.